**44) Claim: It is no longer possible for a society to regard any living man or woman as a hero. Reason: The reputation of anyone who is subjected to media scrutiny will eventually be diminished.**

Write a response in which you discuss the extent to which you agree or disagree with the claim and the reason on which that claim is based.

**75) In this age of intensive media coverage, it is no longer possible for a society to regard any living man or woman as a hero.**

Write a response in which you discuss the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statement and explain your reasoning for the position you take. In developing and supporting your position, you should consider ways in which the statement might or might not hold true and explain how these considerations shape your position.

**84) It is no longer possible for a society to regard any living man or woman as a hero.**

Write a response in which you discuss the extent to which you agree or disagree with the claim. In developing and supporting your position, be sure to address the most compelling reasons and/or examples that could be used to challenge your position.

1. 正:a、媒体对利益的追逐，所以有时候会揭示丑闻吸引公众视线，媒体领导舆论 public opinion，影响力大;b。大众对英雄成功的嫉妒，喜欢看丑闻满足好奇心;c 、媒体的普 及和宣传对英雄人物的产生的确起到了负面的影响。过去，现在斯大林。

2. 反:推论具有很多漏 洞:a、媒 体时代不光没有 消灭英雄，而 且更多的英雄 层出不穷。 例子 政治上，体育上，刘翔。;b、真正的英雄，不管媒体怎样窥视 pry about 他们私人 生活，他们还是一样受人们尊重。一方面真正出色的人，在私人时 间，也是非常常规的 生活——看书，休息，运动—做事保持很高的道德水准。另一方面是他们的出色成就让 他们的所谓的错误行为那么微不足道 insignificant，比如罗斯福，他也有 EX- marital scandal，但是这些行为比起他的成就——领导二战取得胜利，他是公认的 20 世纪最伟 大的总统，人们即使知道丑闻，但是仍然和以前一样尊敬他。c、人们更加理性。就算 确实有错，毕竟英雄不是完美的，人们变得更加理性，知道名人也 是普通人，会更理解 他们的小错误而不是盲目崇拜。

3. 结论:不同人对英雄的定义不同，接受媒体影响的程度不同，不可 一概而论。尽管当代 英雄的存在的可能性仍需讨论，简单说媒体监视造成不存在英雄是不明智的。

Is that true that all human beings more or less have some flaws?... it’s impossible to define a living man or woman as a hero, since we can’t escape from the media?... ’perfectionism’ ‘non-perfectionism’ heroes’ flaws would be exposed to the mass, which makes them non-hero… those little flaws won’t demote their achievement…

… public interest and newsworthiness propel media to disclose the immoral behaviors of hero. People today are fascinated with peering and gazing into places from which we typically are forbidden and are interested in seeing and hearing the innermost details of others’ lives. Medias, especially social medias such as Facebook and Twitter, propelled to gain as much profit as possible, try to carter to the demand of public. Here is an example, Han Chunyu, a biologist at Hebei University of Science and Technology in Shijiazhuang, reported that the enzyme NgAgo can be used to edit mammalian genes and once was considered as a rising star. However, an increasing number of scientists were complaining that they cannot replicate Han’s results. He was fired for fabricating data in a published scientific paper, in unpublished manuscripts, and in grant applications, which was discovered by the media. In sum, medias indeed reveal scandals of so-called hero.

… the real heroes still have a high reputation, no matter how the media reports. In the economics field, Bill Gates, the founder of the CEO and the chief software architect of Microsoft, devotion to the software design and innovation, and subsequent determination to give back through charitable organizations, makes him inspirational to people everywhere. In the scientific field, Albert Einstein, the most influential physicist of the 20th century, is a true science hero, not only because of his genius and physics theories, but also because of his philosophy and compassion for the universe and its creatures. To sum up, there are still lots of heroes in many fields.

… medias eliminate superheroes but we still have heroes. Nobody could live flawlessly. A good case in hand is Gandhi, the man who is hailed no short of a god in India, the father of the nation, the person who played a pivotal role in our independence. However, some medias would tend to talk about his flaws, like ‘Gandhi was not a good father to his sons, he was not perfect’. As for the answer whether Gandhi was a perfect man, my straight forward answer is NO, but he certainly was an amazing man and that is an outstanding achievement to emulate. Gandhi is hero, to be sure, but he is not ‘superhero’. In fact, heroes are never perfect, but they're brave, they're authentic, they're courageous, determined, discreet, and they've got grit. In short, individuals’ flaws would be exaggerated by media and their limitation make them not like a typical hero.